

PROBLEM SHEET 7

Alex Kavvos

The following questions are about Modernised Algol.

1. Assuming that weakening is a rule of the system for both terms and commands, show that the following typing rules concerning various definable constructs are derivable.

[Hint: Do them in the order presented below, so that you may assume that some rules are derivable while showing the next one.]

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m_1 \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma, x : \text{Nat} \vdash_{\Sigma} m_2 \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} \{x \leftarrow m_1; m_2\} \text{ ok}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m_1 \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m_2 \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} \{m_1; m_2\} \text{ ok}} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} e : \text{Cmd}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} \text{do } e \text{ ok}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m_1 \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m_2 \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} \text{if } m \text{ then } m_1 \text{ else } m_2 \text{ ok}} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m \text{ ok} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m^* \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} \text{while } (m) \{m^*\} \text{ ok}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{\Sigma} m \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} \text{proc } (x : \tau) \{m\} : \tau \rightarrow \text{Cmd}} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} e_1 : \tau \rightarrow \text{Cmd} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} \text{call } e_1(e_2) \text{ ok}}
 \end{array}$$

2. Write down a transition sequence that begins with the following command-store pair, and ends in a final state, where $\text{one} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{succ}(\text{zero})$ as usual. Moreover, show that the command is ok with $\Sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a$.

$$\{a := \text{zero}; \text{decl } b := \text{one in } \{x \leftarrow @b; \text{ret } x\}\} \parallel \{a \mapsto \text{one}\}$$

3. Complete the proofs of progress and preservation for Modernised Algol. As usual, do this in steps: first formulate a canonical forms lemma; then prove a substitution lemma; and then progress and preservation themselves.

You are going to need the following ‘extension’ lemma.

Lemma 1 (Extension).

- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} e : \tau$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} e : \tau$ for any appropriate Σ' .
- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m \text{ ok}$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} m \text{ ok}$ for any appropriate Σ' .

The word ‘appropriate’ here means that the locations in Σ' do not clash with any of the locations in Σ . The Barendregt convention also means that any bound locations in e or m should be ‘automatically’ renamed to avoid clashing with Σ' .

You are also going to need the following ‘mobility’ lemma.

Lemma 2 (Mobility). If $\vdash_{\Sigma} e : \text{Nat}$ and $e \text{ val}$ then $\vdash_{\emptyset} e : \text{Nat}$.

This holds by repeated applications of canonical forms for Nat: if e is a value of natural number type it must be of the form $\text{succ}^n(\text{zero})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, starting with the typing rule ZERO with $\Sigma = \emptyset$ and repeatedly applying SUCC we can show that $\vdash_{\emptyset} e : \text{Nat}$.

Finally, the substitution lemma you will need to prove (or assume!) is the following:

Claim 3 (Substitution).

- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} v : \sigma$, and $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash_{\Sigma} e : \tau$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} e[v/x] : \tau$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} v : \sigma$, and $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash_{\Sigma} m \text{ ok}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} m[v/x] \text{ ok}$.

We may be using the letter v , but it need not be a value.

[For preservation, perform a simultaneous induction on $e \mapsto e'$ and $m \parallel \mu \mapsto_{\Sigma} m' \parallel \mu'$. Do a similar simultaneous induction on typing derivations for progress. You will need to use the canonical forms lemma in both, not just when proving progress.]