

PROBLEM SHEET 6

Alex Kavvos

The following questions are about call-by-name (CBN) and call-by-value (CBV).

1. Show that the STLC+print term $e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_1(e_2)$ is well-typed. Then, reduce it to a value twice: once using the CBN, and once using the CBV dynamics. Feel free to skip ε in transitions that do not print anything.

$$u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda x : \text{Num}. \text{print}(\text{'batman'}; \text{plus}(x; \text{num}[1]))$$

$$e_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda f : \text{Num} \rightarrow \text{Num}. f(f(\text{num}[2]))$$

$$e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{print}(\text{'na'}; u)$$

$$e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_1(e_2)$$

2. (*) Do you need to prove progress and preservation for the CBV STLC, or can you say that they have already been established? Do not do any proofs in the answer to this question (unless you want to).