PROBLEM SHEET 1

Alex Kavvos

1. Write down a derivation of the judgement

succ(succ(succ(zero))) odd

- 2. (i) Write down the rules that generate lists of natural numbers.
 - (ii) Write down the associated induction principle.
 - (iii) In your notation, write a derivation of the judgement that [0, 1] is a list.
- 3. Prove that the following rule is derivable.

$$\frac{n \text{ even}}{\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(n)) \text{ even}}$$

4. Prove that the following rule is admissible.

$$\frac{n \text{ even}}{n \text{ nat}}$$

(You might need to strengthen this statement a bit.)

5. (*) All the judgements we have seen up to this point have been *unary*, in the sense that they referred to only one entity. For example, the judgement n nat only refers to the object n.

However, judgements can have arbitrary *arity*, and can thus define arbitrary relations between an arbitrary number of objects. For example, the following *ternary* judgment sum(a, b, c) defines a relation between three objects: a, b and c.

$$\frac{b \text{ nat}}{\mathsf{sum}(\mathsf{zero}, b, b)} \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathsf{IND}}{\mathsf{sum}(a, b, c)}$$

The judgement sum(a, b, c) can be written in more familiar notation as a + b = c.

Such judgements can be used—amongst countless other things—to define functions. This exercise is about showing that the above rules define the addition function.

- (i) Write down a derivation of sum(succ(zero), succ(zero), succ(succ(zero))).
- (ii) Restate the above rules as a Haskell function on the data type

data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat

Does your code use pattern matching? Discuss its relation to the rules given above.

- (iii) Prove that if sum(a, b, c) then a nat, b nat, and c nat.
- (iv) (Existence) Prove that if a nat and b nat then there exists a c nat such that sum(a, b, c).
- (v) (Uniqueness) Prove that if sum(a, b, c) and sum(a, b, c') it must be that c = c'.
- (vi) Conclude that sum(a, b, c) indeed defines a function on natural numbers.