

# DYNAMICS

Alex Kavvos

Reading: PFPL, §5.1, 5.2

We have studied the statics—i.e. the concrete syntax and type system—for a rudimentary programming language of numbers and strings. It is now time to look into the computational behaviour—or **dynamics**—of programs.

We will set up a **transition system** that specifies the states of evolution of a program, beginning from some initial term of interest, and ending with a final **value**.

## 1 Values

What is the aim of a program? For now, we will assume that it is to **compute a value**. This is a rather functional way of looking at programming. In contrast, imperative languages seek to effect some change on the world (write in memory, print a value, etc.). We will study such languages later on.

We define the judgement  $e \text{ val}$  by the following rules.

$$\frac{\text{VAL-NUM} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{num}[n] \text{ val}} \qquad \frac{\text{VAL-STR} \quad s \in \Sigma^*}{\text{str}[s] \text{ val}}$$

In other words, we will only accept numbers and strings as values, i.e. results of a computation. It is evident that

**Proposition 1.** If  $e \text{ val}$  then either  $\vdash e : \text{Num}$  or  $\vdash e : \text{Str}$ .

Thus, every value is a **closed term**: it is typable in a context with no free variables.

## 2 Transitions

We will define a relation  $e_1 \mapsto e_2$  between closed terms by the following rules.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{D-PLUS} & \text{D-PLUS-1} & \text{D-PLUS-2} \\ \frac{n_1 + n_2 = n}{\text{plus}(\text{num}[n_1]; \text{num}[n_2]) \mapsto \text{num}[n]} & \frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{plus}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{plus}(e'_1; e_2)} & \frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{\text{plus}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{plus}(e_1; e'_2)} \\ \\ \text{D-CAT} & \text{D-CAT-1} & \text{D-CAT-2} \\ \frac{s_1 \text{ ++ } s_2 = s}{\text{cat}(\text{str}[s_1]; \text{str}[s_2]) \mapsto \text{str}[s]} & \frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{cat}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{cat}(e'_1; e_2)} & \frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{\text{cat}(e_1; e_2) \mapsto \text{cat}(e_1; e'_2)} \\ \\ \text{D-LEN} & \text{D-LEN-1} & \text{D-LET} \\ \frac{|s| = n}{\text{len}(\text{str}[s]) \mapsto \text{num}[n]} & \frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{len}(e) \mapsto \text{len}(e')} & \frac{}{\text{let}(e_1; x. e_2) \mapsto e_2[e_1/x]} \end{array}$$

**Note:** the rules for  $\text{times}(e_1; e_2)$  are similar to those for  $\text{plus}(e_1; e_2)$ , and have been omitted.

Terms can be thought of as **states** of a transition system. The judgement  $e_1 \mapsto e_2$  can be thought of as the relation that specifies the transitions between states. It is read as “ $e_1$  takes a step to  $e_2$ .”

Some rules, like **D-PLUS**, perform computation; they are sometimes called **instruction transitions**.

Other rules, like **D-PLUS-1**, enable computation in a subterm; they are sometimes called **search transitions**. These determine the **order of evaluation**; e.g. here they force  $e_1$  to be evaluated before  $e_2$  in the term  $\text{plus}(e_1; e_2)$ .

Strictly speaking, transitions also require derivations like the one below.

$$\frac{\frac{\text{len}(\text{str}[\text{'asdf'}]) \mapsto \text{num}[4]}{\text{D-LEN}}}{\text{plus}(\text{len}(\text{str}[\text{'asdf'}]); \text{num}[1]) \mapsto \text{plus}(\text{num}[4]; \text{num}[1])} \text{D-PLUS-1}$$

In practice we write the transition, and underline the term to which an instruction transition is applied:

$$\text{plus}(\underline{\text{len}(\text{str}[\text{'asdf'}])}; \text{num}[1]) \mapsto \text{plus}(\text{num}[4]; \text{num}[1]) \quad (1)$$

### 3 Multi-step transitions

The transition (1) takes a step from a program to another program. It is clear that this second program is not yet a value: more transitions are needed to reach one.

$$\text{plus}(\underline{\text{len}(\text{str}[\text{'asdf'}])}; \text{num}[1]) \mapsto \underline{\text{plus}(\text{num}[4]; \text{num}[1])} \mapsto \text{num}[5] \quad (2)$$

A series of transitions is called a **transition sequence**.

We encapsulate transition sequences by defining the **reflexive transitive closure** of the relation  $\mapsto$ :

$$\frac{\text{D-MULTI-REFL}}{e \mapsto^* e} \qquad \frac{\text{D-MULTI-STEP} \quad e \mapsto e' \quad e' \mapsto^* e''}{e \mapsto^* e''}$$

This relation is **reflexive**, as witnessed by the rule **D-MULTI-REFL** which postulates that  $e \mapsto^* e$  for any  $e$ .

It is also **transitive**. However, this requires proof by induction:

**Proposition 2.** The rule  $\frac{e_1 \mapsto^* e_2 \quad e_2 \mapsto^* e_3}{e_1 \mapsto^* e_3}$  is admissible.

It is also true that  $e \mapsto^* e'$  if and only if there exists a transition sequence that proves this. In other words, there should exist pre-terms  $e_0, \dots, e_n$  (for  $n \geq 0$ ) with

$$e = e_0 \mapsto \dots \mapsto e_n = e'$$

(This can be proven by induction, but is laborious and not very interesting.) For example, we have

$$\text{plus}(\text{len}(\text{str}[\text{'asdf'}]); \text{num}[1]) \mapsto^* \text{num}[5]$$

precisely because of the transition sequence (2). However, we **do not** have

$$\text{plus}(\text{len}(\text{str}[\text{'asdf'}]); \text{num}[1]) \mapsto \text{num}[5]$$

as this transition requires two steps of computation, not one.

### 4 Basic properties

If we are to think of values as final states of a computation, then there better be no transitions out of them.

**Proposition 3 (Finality).** If  $e \text{ val}$  then there is no  $e'$  with  $e \mapsto e'$ .

The proof is by inspection. (Formally: by induction on  $e \text{ val}$ , and then inversion on  $e \mapsto e'$ .)

Every program computes a unique value. This is because the transition relation is **deterministic**.

**Proposition 4 (Determinism).** If  $e \mapsto e_1$  and  $e \mapsto e_2$  then  $e_1 \equiv e_2$  (up to  $\alpha$ -equivalence).

Hence, we are morally allowed to define  $e \Downarrow v$  (“ $e$  evaluates to value  $v$ ”) by

$$e \Downarrow v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e \mapsto^* v \wedge v \text{ val}$$

By **Proposition 4**, there is at most one  $v$  such that  $e \Downarrow v$ .